

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BELL SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

KIOXIA AMERICA, INC.,

Defendant.

No. 2:22-cv-00726-KJM-JDP

BELL SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

KIOXIA AMERICA, INC.,

Defendant.

No. 2:22-cv-01510-WBS-DB

1 BELL SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 KIOXIA AMERICA, INC.,

5 Defendant.

No. 2:22-cv-01797-WBS-DB

6 -----
7
8 BELL SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 KIOXIA AMERICA, INC.,

12 Defendant.

No. 2:22-cv-01880-KJM-JDP

RELATED CASE ORDER

13
14
15
16 Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals they are related within the
17 meaning of Local Rule 123(a). The “actions involve similar questions of fact and the same
18 question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a
19 substantial savings of judicial effort.” E.D. Cal. L. R. 123(a)(3). Accordingly, the assignment of
20 these matters to the same judge is likely be convenient for the parties.


21 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions
22 to be assigned to the same judge but does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related
23 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
24 assigned.

25 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED 2:22-CV-01510-WBS-DB and
26 2:22-CV-01797-WBS-DB are reassigned from Judge Shubb to the undersigned and from
27 Magistrate Judge Barnes to Magistrate Judge Peterson.
28

1 It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustments
2 in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: December 13, 2022.

5
6 
7 _____
8 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28